# Invariant Metrics in Complex Analysis

& a conjecture of Kobayashi and Lang

Kyle Broder The University of Queensland Virtual Seminar on Geometry with Symmetries The results of this talk are based on joint work with James Stanfield<sup>1</sup> (Univ. Münster) and some work in preparation with Frédéric Campana (Univ. Lorraine) and Hervé Gaussier (Institut Fourier, Grenoble).

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Broder},$  K., Stanfield, J., A General Schwarz Lemma for Hermitian Manifolds, <code>arXiv:2309.04636</code>.

The unit disk  $\mathbf{D}:=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$  in the complex plane has a number of remarkable properties:

- (1) Every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$  is constant.
- (2) There is a complete metric on D with curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) There is a distance function  $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$  for which the automorphisms of  $\mathbf{D}$  are isometries and holomorphic self-maps  $f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{D}$  are decreasing in the sense that  $f^*\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}} \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$ .
- (4) The canonical bundle  $K_S = \Lambda_S^{1,0}$  of a curve S universally covered by **D** is ample.

The unit disk  $\mathbf{D}:=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$  in the complex plane has a number of remarkable properties:

- (1) Every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$  is constant.
- (2) There is a complete metric on **D** with curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) There is a distance function ℜ<sub>D</sub> for which the automorphisms of **D** are isometries and holomorphic self-maps f : **D** → **D** are decreasing in the sense that f<sup>\*</sup>ℜ<sub>D</sub> ≤ ℜ<sub>D</sub>.
- (4) The canonical bundle  $K_S = \Lambda_S^{1,0}$  of a curve S universally covered by **D** is ample.

The unit disk  $\mathbf{D}:=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$  in the complex plane has a number of remarkable properties:

- (1) Every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$  is constant.
- (2) There is a complete metric on **D** with curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) There is a distance function ℜ<sub>D</sub> for which the automorphisms of **D** are isometries and holomorphic self-maps f : **D** → **D** are decreasing in the sense that f<sup>\*</sup>ℜ<sub>D</sub> ≤ ℜ<sub>D</sub>.
- (4) The canonical bundle  $K_S = \Lambda_S^{1,0}$  of a curve S universally covered by **D** is ample.

The unit disk  $\mathbf{D}:=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$  in the complex plane has a number of remarkable properties:

- (1) Every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$  is constant.
- (2) There is a complete metric on D with curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) There is a distance function  $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$  for which the automorphisms of  $\mathbf{D}$  are isometries and holomorphic self-maps  $f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{D}$  are decreasing in the sense that  $f^* \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}} \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$ .

(4) The canonical bundle  $K_S = \Lambda_S^{1,0}$  of a curve S universally covered by **D** is ample.

The unit disk  $\mathbf{D}:=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$  in the complex plane has a number of remarkable properties:

- (1) Every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$  is constant.
- (2) There is a complete metric on D with curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) There is a distance function  $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$  for which the automorphisms of  $\mathbf{D}$  are isometries and holomorphic self-maps  $f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{D}$  are decreasing in the sense that  $f^* \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}} \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$ .
- (4) The canonical bundle  $K_S = \Lambda_S^{1,0}$  of a curve S universally covered by **D** is ample.

The unit disk  $\mathbf{D}:=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$  in the complex plane has a number of remarkable properties:

- (1) Every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$  is constant.
- (2) There is a complete metric on **D** with curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) There is a distance function ℜ<sub>D</sub> for which the automorphisms of **D** are isometries and holomorphic self-maps f : **D** → **D** are decreasing in the sense that f<sup>\*</sup>ℜ<sub>D</sub> ≤ ℜ<sub>D</sub>.
- (4) The canonical bundle  $K_S = \Lambda_S^{1,0}$  of a curve S universally covered by **D** is ample.

The unit disk  $\mathbf{D}:=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$  in the complex plane has a number of remarkable properties:

- (1) Every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$  is constant.
- (2) There is a complete metric on **D** with curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) There is a distance function ℜ<sub>D</sub> for which the automorphisms of **D** are isometries and holomorphic self-maps f : **D** → **D** are decreasing in the sense that f<sup>\*</sup>ℜ<sub>D</sub> ≤ ℜ<sub>D</sub>.
- (4) The canonical bundle  $K_S = \Lambda_S^{1,0}$  of a curve S universally covered by **D** is ample.

The unit disk  $\mathbf{D}:=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$  in the complex plane has a number of remarkable properties:

- (1) Every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$  is constant.
- (2) There is a complete metric on D with curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) There is a distance function  $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$  for which the automorphisms of  $\mathbf{D}$  are isometries and holomorphic self-maps  $f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{D}$  are decreasing in the sense that  $f^* \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}} \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$ .

(4) The canonical bundle  $K_S = \Lambda_S^{1,0}$  of a curve S universally covered by **D** is ample.

The unit disk  $\mathbf{D}:=\{z\in\mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$  in the complex plane has a number of remarkable properties:

- (1) Every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$  is constant.
- (2) There is a complete metric on D with curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) There is a distance function  $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$  for which the automorphisms of  $\mathbf{D}$  are isometries and holomorphic self-maps  $f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{D}$  are decreasing in the sense that  $f^*\mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}} \leq \mathfrak{K}_{\mathbf{D}}$ .

# (4) The canonical bundle $K_S = \Lambda_S^{1,0}$ of a curve S universally covered by **D** is ample.

- (î) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (<sup>4</sup>) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

 $(\hat{1})$  was introduced by Brody (1978). The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric was introduced by Bochner (1947).

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (<sup>4</sup>) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

 $(\hat{1})$  was introduced by Brody (1978). The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric was introduced by Bochner (1947).

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\Re_X$  is non-degenerate.
- ( $\hat{4}$ ) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

 $(\hat{1})$  was introduced by Brody (1978). The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric was introduced by Bochner (1947).

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- $(\hat{2})$  Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (4) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

 $(\hat{1})$  was introduced by Brody (1978). The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric was introduced by Bochner (1947).

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- $(\hat{2})$  Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (<sup> $\hat{4}$ </sup>) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\Re_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (<sup>4</sup>) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

 $(\hat{1})$  was introduced by Brody (1978). The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric was introduced by Bochner (1947).

- (î) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\Re_X$  is non-degenerate.
- ( $\hat{4}$ ) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

# $(\hat{1})$ was introduced by Brody (1978). The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric was introduced by Bochner (1947).

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\Re_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (<sup>4</sup>) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

 $(\hat{1})$  was introduced by Brody (1978). The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric was introduced by Bochner (1947).

- (î) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (4) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

- (î) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (4) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- ( $\hat{4}$ ) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

(î) was introduced by Brody (1978). The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric was introduced by Bochner (1947). Grauert-Reckziegel (1965) used the Ahlfors-Schwarz lemma to show that  $(\hat{2}) \implies (\hat{1})$ . The Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\Re_X$  was introduced by Kobayashi (1968). The Kobayashi pseudodistance is invariant in the sense that automorphisms of X are isometries for  $\Re_X$ . It also has the property that holomorphic maps  $f : X \to Y$  are decreasing in the sense that  $f^* \Re_Y \leq \Re_X$ . Since  $\Re_{\mathbb{C}} \equiv 0$ , if  $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$  is a non-constant holomorphic map, then  $f^* \Re_X \leq \Re_{\mathbb{C}} \equiv 0$ ; in particular,  $(\hat{3}) \implies (\hat{1})$ . Brody (1978) showed that for compact complex manifolds,  $(\hat{1}) \iff (\hat{3})$ .

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- ( $\hat{4}$ ) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X := \Lambda_X^{n,0}$  is ample.

(1) was introduced by Brody (1978). The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric was introduced by Bochner (1947). Grauert–Reckziegel (1965) used the Ahlfors–Schwarz lemma to show that (2)  $\implies$  (1). The Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\Re_X$  was introduced by Kobayashi (1968). The Kobayashi pseudodistance is invariant in the sense that automorphisms of X are isometries for  $\Re_X$ . It also has the property that holomorphic maps  $f : X \to Y$  are decreasing in the sense that  $f^* \Re_Y \leq \Re_X$ . Since  $\Re_C \equiv 0$ , if  $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$  is a non-constant holomorphic map, then  $f^* \Re_X \leq \Re_C \equiv 0$ ; in particular, (3)  $\implies$  (1). Brody (1978) showed that for compact complex manifolds, (1)  $\iff$  (3).

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- ( $\hat{4}$ ) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

The Ahlfors–Schwarz lemma argument does not show that  $(\hat{2}) \implies (\hat{3})$ . Greene–Wu (1979) showed that  $(\hat{2}) \implies (\hat{3})$  by estimating  $\hat{\kappa}_X$  directly. Recall that the canonical bundle  $K_X$  of a compact complex manifold X ample if the sections of  $K_X^{\otimes \ell}$  furnish an embedding  $\Phi : X \to \mathbf{P}^{N_{\ell}}$ . The manifolds in  $(\hat{4})$  are all projective with Kähler–Einstein metrics  $g_{KE}$  with  $\operatorname{Ric}(g_{KE}) = -g_{KE}$ . It is clear that condition  $(\hat{4})$  is the weakest. The standard example to bring to mind is the Fermat hypersurface

$$F_d := \{z_0^d + \dots + z_n^d = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$$

- (1) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  is non-degenerate.
- ( $\hat{4}$ ) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

The Ahlfors–Schwarz lemma argument does not show that  $(\hat{2}) \implies (\hat{3})$ . Greene–Wu (1979) showed that  $(\hat{2}) \implies (\hat{3})$  by estimating  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  directly. Recall that the canonical bundle  $K_X$  of a compact complex manifold Xample if the sections of  $K_X^{\otimes \ell}$  furnish an embedding  $\Phi : X \to \mathbf{P}^{N_\ell}$ . The manifolds in  $(\hat{4})$  are all projective with Kähler–Einstein metrics  $g_{KE}$  with  $\operatorname{Ric}(g_{KE}) = -g_{KE}$ . It is clear that condition  $(\hat{4})$  is the weakest. The standard example to bring to mind is the Fermat hypersurface

$$F_d := \{z_0^d + \dots + z_n^d = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$$

- (î) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\Re_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (<sup> $\hat{4}$ </sup>) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

The Ahlfors–Schwarz lemma argument does not show that  $(2) \implies (\hat{3})$ . Greene–Wu (1979) showed that  $(\hat{2}) \implies (\hat{3})$  by estimating  $\Re_X$  directly. **Recall that the canonical bundle**  $K_X$  of a compact complex manifold Xample if the sections of  $K_X^{\otimes \ell}$  furnish an embedding  $\Phi : X \to \mathbf{P}^{N_{\ell}}$ . The manifolds in  $(\hat{4})$  are all projective with Kähler–Einstein metrics  $g_{KE}$  with  $\operatorname{Ric}(g_{KE}) = -g_{KE}$ . It is clear that condition  $(\hat{4})$  is the weakest. The standard example to bring to mind is the Fermat hypersurface

$$F_d := \{z_0^d + \dots + z_n^d = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$$

- (î) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\Re_X$  is non-degenerate.
- (<sup> $\hat{4}$ </sup>) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

The Ahlfors–Schwarz lemma argument does not show that  $(2) \implies (3)$ . Greene–Wu (1979) showed that  $(\hat{2}) \implies (\hat{3})$  by estimating  $\hat{\kappa}_X$  directly. Recall that the canonical bundle  $K_X$  of a compact complex manifold Xample if the sections of  $K_X^{\otimes \ell}$  furnish an embedding  $\Phi : X \to \mathbf{P}^{N_\ell}$ . The manifolds in  $(\hat{4})$  are all projective with Kähler–Einstein metrics  $\mathbf{g}_{KE}$  with  $\operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{g}_{KE}) = -\mathbf{g}_{KE}$ . It is clear that condition  $(\hat{4})$  is the weakest. The standard example to bring to mind is the Fermat hypersurface

$$F_d := \{z_0^d + \cdots + z_n^d = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$$

- (î) Brody hyperbolic if every holomorphic map  $\mathbf{C} \to X$  is constant.
- (2) Negatively curved if there is a Hermitian metric with holomorphic sectional curvature bounded above by a negative constant.
- (3) Kobayashi hyperbolic if the Kobayashi pseudodistance  $\Re_X$  is non-degenerate.

### (<sup> $\hat{4}$ </sup>) Canonically polarized if the canonical bundle $K_X$ is ample.

The Ahlfors–Schwarz lemma argument does not show that  $(\hat{2}) \implies (\hat{3})$ . Greene–Wu (1979) showed that  $(\hat{2}) \implies (\hat{3})$  by estimating  $\mathfrak{K}_X$  directly. Recall that the canonical bundle  $K_X$  of a compact complex manifold Xample if the sections of  $K_X^{\otimes \ell}$  furnish an embedding  $\Phi : X \to \mathbf{P}^{N_{\ell}}$ . The manifolds in  $(\hat{4})$  are all projective with Kähler–Einstein metrics  $g_{KE}$  with  $\operatorname{Ric}(g_{KE}) = -g_{KE}$ . It is clear that condition  $(\hat{4})$  is the weakest. The standard example to bring to mind is the Fermat hypersurface

$$F_d := \{z_0^d + \cdots + z_n^d = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^n$$

- Compact quotients of bounded domains Ω ⊂ C<sup>"</sup>. In particular, ball quotients B<sup>n</sup>/Γ.
- A generic smooth hypersurface of degree  $d \ge 16n^3(5n + 4)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ .
  - This lower bound is due to Bérczi-Kirwan (2023); building on the work of Siu (2015), Brotbek (2017), Deng (2017), Demailly (2018), and others.
- Kobayashi hyperbolicity is inherited by products, submanifolds, and universal covers.

- Compact quotients of bounded domains Ω ⊂ C<sup>"</sup>. In particular, ball quotients B<sup>"</sup>/Γ.
- A generic smooth hypersurface of degree  $d \ge 16n^3(5n + 4)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ .
  - This lower bound is due to Bérczi-Kirwan (2023); building on the work of Siu (2015), Brotbek (2017), Deng (2017), Demailly (2018), and others.
- Kobayashi hyperbolicity is inherited by products, submanifolds, and universal covers.

- Compact quotients of bounded domains Ω ⊂ C<sup>n</sup>. In particular, ball quotients B<sup>n</sup>/Γ.
- A generic smooth hypersurface of degree  $d \ge 16n^3(5n + 4)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ .
  - This lower bound is due to Bérczi-Kirwan (2023); building on the work of Siu (2015), Brothek (2017), Deng (2017), Demailly (2018), and others.
- Kobayashi hyperbolicity is inherited by products, submanifolds, and universal covers.

- Compact quotients of bounded domains Ω ⊂ C<sup>n</sup>. In particular, ball quotients B<sup>n</sup>/Γ.
- A generic smooth hypersurface of degree  $d \ge 16n^3(5n+4)$  in  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$ .
  - This lower bound is due to Bérczi-Kirwan (2023); building on the work of Siu (2015), Brotbek (2017), Deng (2017), Demailly (2018), and others.
- Kobayashi hyperbolicity is inherited by products, submanifolds, and universal covers.

- Compact quotients of bounded domains Ω ⊂ C<sup>n</sup>. In particular, ball quotients B<sup>n</sup>/Γ.
- A generic smooth hypersurface of degree  $d \ge 16n^3(5n+4)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ .
  - This lower bound is due to Bérczi-Kirwan (2023); building on the work of Siu (2015), Brotbek (2017), Deng (2017), Demailly (2018), and others.
- Kobayashi hyperbolicity is inherited by products, submanifolds, and universal covers.

#### The main purpose of this talk is to present the most general evidence for the following conjecture of Kobayashi (1970) and Lang (1986).

<u>Conjecture</u>. Let X be a compact Kobayashi hyperbolic Kähler manifold. Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g.

Recall that a complex manifold X is Kähler if it admits a Hermitian metric g such that the 2-form  $\omega_{g}(\cdot, \cdot) := g(J \cdot, \cdot)$  is closed, i.e.,  $d\omega_{g} = 0$ . This is equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection being compatible with the complex structure J in the sense that  ${}^{LC}\nabla J = 0$ .

Kähler metrics exist in absurd abundance: The Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Bergman metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , and Fubini–Study metric on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are Kähler. Further, since complex submanifolds inherit the Kähler condition, projective and Stein manifolds are Kähler. The main purpose of this talk is to present the most general evidence for the following conjecture of Kobayashi (1970) and Lang (1986).

<u>Conjecture</u>. Let X be a compact Kobayashi hyperbolic Kähler manifold. Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g.

Recall that a complex manifold X is Kähler if it admits a Hermitian metric g such that the 2-form  $\omega_{g}(\cdot, \cdot) := g(J \cdot, \cdot)$  is closed, i.e.,  $d\omega_{g} = 0$ . This is equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection being compatible with the complex structure J in the sense that  ${}^{LC}\nabla J = 0$ .

Kähler metrics exist in absurd abundance: The Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Bergman metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , and Fubini–Study metric on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are Kähler. Further, since complex submanifolds inherit the Kähler condition, projective and Stein manifolds are Kähler. The main purpose of this talk is to present the most general evidence for the following conjecture of Kobayashi (1970) and Lang (1986).

<u>Conjecture</u>. Let X be a compact Kobayashi hyperbolic Kähler manifold. Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g.

Recall that a complex manifold X is Kähler if it admits a Hermitian metric g such that the 2-form  $\omega_{g}(\cdot, \cdot) := g(J \cdot, \cdot)$  is closed, i.e.,  $d\omega_{g} = 0$ . This is equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection being compatible with the complex structure J in the sense that  ${}^{LC}\nabla J = 0$ .

Kähler metrics exist in absurd abundance: The Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Bergman metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , and Fubini–Study metric on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are Kähler. Further, since complex submanifolds inherit the Kähler condition, projective and Stein manifolds are Kähler.
The main purpose of this talk is to present the most general evidence for the following conjecture of Kobayashi (1970) and Lang (1986).

<u>Conjecture</u>. Let X be a compact Kobayashi hyperbolic Kähler manifold. Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g.

Recall that a complex manifold X is Kähler if it admits a Hermitian metric g such that the 2-form  $\omega_{g}(\cdot, \cdot) := g(J \cdot, \cdot)$  is closed, i.e.,  $d\omega_{g} = 0$ . This is equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection being compatible with the complex structure J in the sense that  ${}^{LC}\nabla J = 0$ .

Kähler metrics exist in absurd abundance: The Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Bergman metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , and Fubini–Study metric on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are Kähler. Further, since complex submanifolds inherit the Kähler condition, projective and Stein manifolds are Kähler. The main purpose of this talk is to present the most general evidence for the following conjecture of Kobayashi (1970) and Lang (1986).

<u>Conjecture</u>. Let X be a compact Kobayashi hyperbolic Kähler manifold. Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g.

Recall that a complex manifold X is Kähler if it admits a Hermitian metric g such that the 2-form  $\omega_{g}(\cdot, \cdot) := g(J \cdot, \cdot)$  is closed, i.e.,  $d\omega_{g} = 0$ . This is equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection being compatible with the complex structure J in the sense that  ${}^{LC}\nabla J = 0$ .

Kähler metrics exist in absurd abundance: The Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Bergman metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , and Fubini–Study metric on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are Kähler. Further, since complex submanifolds inherit the Kähler condition, projective and Stein manifolds are Kähler. The main purpose of this talk is to present the most general evidence for the following conjecture of Kobayashi (1970) and Lang (1986).

<u>Conjecture</u>. Let X be a compact Kobayashi hyperbolic Kähler manifold. Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g.

Recall that a complex manifold X is Kähler if it admits a Hermitian metric g such that the 2-form  $\omega_{g}(\cdot, \cdot) := g(J \cdot, \cdot)$  is closed, i.e.,  $d\omega_{g} = 0$ . This is equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection being compatible with the complex structure J in the sense that  ${}^{LC}\nabla J = 0$ .

Kähler metrics exist in absurd abundance: The Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Bergman metric on  $\mathbb{B}^n$ , and Fubini–Study metric on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are Kähler. Further, since complex submanifolds inherit the Kähler condition, projective and Stein manifolds are Kähler.

Wong (81) and Campana (91) verified the Kobayashi–Lang conjecture for compact complex surfaces.

For compact Kähler threefolds, it suffices to rule out the hyperbolicity of Calabi–Yau threefolds with  $b_2 < 13$  (Heath-Brown–Wilson).

Wong (81) and Campana (91) verified the Kobayashi–Lang conjecture for compact complex surfaces.

For compact Kähler threefolds, it suffices to rule out the hyperbolicity of Calabi–Yau threefolds with  $b_2 < 13$  (Heath-Brown–Wilson).

Wong (81) and Campana (91) verified the Kobayashi–Lang conjecture for compact complex surfaces.

For compact Kähler threefolds, it suffices to rule out the hyperbolicity of Calabi–Yau threefolds with  $b_2 < 13$  (Heath-Brown–Wilson).

Wong (81) and Campana (91) verified the Kobayashi–Lang conjecture for compact complex surfaces.

For compact Kähler threefolds, it suffices to rule out the hyperbolicity of Calabi–Yau threefolds with  $b_2 < 13$  (Heath-Brown–Wilson).

#### Curvature Aspects of Hyperbolicity

Let X be a complex manifold. Let g be a Hermitian metric, locally described in a coordinate chart  $(z_1, ..., z_n)$  by

$$\mathrm{g} = \sum_{k,\ell} \mathrm{g}_{k \overline{\ell}} dz^k \otimes d \overline{z}^\ell,$$

where  $\mathbf{g}_{k\bar{\ell}} = \mathbf{g}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_\ell}\right)$  is a Hermitian matrix. The (Chern) curvature tensor of g is the (0, 4)-tensor whose components are locally given by

$$\mathbf{R}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} := \mathbf{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_\ell}\right) = -\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{g}_{k\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} + \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{k\bar{q}}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{p\bar{\ell}}}{\partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric g is defined

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\mathrm{g}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi|_{\mathrm{g}}^{4}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \mathrm{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} \xi^{i} \overline{\xi}^{j} \xi^{k} \overline{\xi}^{\ell},$$

where  $\xi = \sum_k \xi^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ .

#### Curvature Aspects of Hyperbolicity

Let X be a complex manifold. Let g be a Hermitian metric, locally described in a coordinate chart  $(z_1, ..., z_n)$  by

$$\mathrm{g} = \sum_{k,\ell} \mathrm{g}_{k \bar{\ell}} dz^k \otimes d \bar{z}^\ell,$$

where  $g_{k\bar{\ell}} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_\ell}\right)$  is a Hermitian matrix. The (Chern) curvature tensor of g is the (0, 4)-tensor whose components are locally given by

$$\mathrm{R}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} \ := \ \mathrm{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_\ell}\right) \ = \ -\frac{\partial^2 \mathrm{g}_{k\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z_i\partial \bar{z}_j} + \mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{k\bar{q}}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial \mathrm{g}_{p\bar{\ell}}}{\partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric g is defined

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\mathrm{g}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi|_{\mathrm{g}}^{4}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \mathrm{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} \xi^{i} \overline{\xi}^{j} \xi^{k} \overline{\xi}^{\ell},$$

where  $\xi = \sum_k \xi^k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ .

#### Curvature Aspects of Hyperbolicity

Let X be a complex manifold. Let g be a Hermitian metric, locally described in a coordinate chart  $(z_1, ..., z_n)$  by

$$\mathrm{g} = \sum_{k,\ell} \mathrm{g}_{kar{\ell}} dz^k \otimes dar{z}^\ell$$

where  $g_{k\bar{\ell}} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_\ell}\right)$  is a Hermitian matrix. The (Chern) curvature tensor of g is the (0, 4)-tensor whose components are locally given by

$$\mathbf{R}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{\ell}} := \mathbf{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_\ell}\right) = -\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{g}_{k\bar{\ell}}}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} + \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{k\bar{q}}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{p\bar{\ell}}}{\partial \bar{z}_j}.$$

The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Hermitian metric g is defined

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\mathbf{g}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi|_{\mathbf{g}}^{4}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \mathrm{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} \xi^{i} \overline{\xi}^{j} \xi^{k} \overline{\xi}^{\ell},$$

where  $\xi = \sum_{k} \xi^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}$ .

The holomorphic sectional curvature

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\mathbf{g}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi|_{\mathbf{g}}^{4}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \mathrm{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} \xi^{i} \overline{\xi}^{j} \xi^{k} \overline{\xi}^{\ell}$$

#### controls the value distribution of holomorphic curves in X:

- (i) If  $HSC_g \leq -\Lambda_0 < 0$ , every holomorphic map  $\mathbb{C} \to X$  is constant (i.e., X is Kobayashi hyperbolic).
- (ii) If g is Kähler and HSC<sub>g</sub> > 0, then X is rationally connected, i.e., any two points are contained in the image of some holomorphic map P<sup>1</sup> → X.

The holomorphic sectional curvature

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\mathrm{g}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi|_{\mathrm{g}}^{4}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \mathrm{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} \xi^{i} \overline{\xi}^{j} \xi^{k} \overline{\xi}^{\ell}$$

controls the value distribution of holomorphic curves in X:

- (i) If  $\text{HSC}_{g} \leq -\Lambda_{0} < 0$ , every holomorphic map  $\mathbb{C} \to X$  is constant (i.e., X is Kobayashi hyperbolic).
- (ii) If g is K\u00e4hler and HSC<sub>g</sub> > 0, then X is rationally connected, i.e., any two points are contained in the image of some holomorphic map P<sup>1</sup> → X.

The holomorphic sectional curvature

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\mathrm{g}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi|_{\mathrm{g}}^{4}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \mathrm{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} \xi^{i} \overline{\xi}^{j} \xi^{k} \overline{\xi}^{\ell}$$

controls the value distribution of holomorphic curves in X:

- (i) If HSC<sub>g</sub> ≤ −Λ<sub>0</sub> < 0, every holomorphic map C → X is constant (i.e., X is Kobayashi hyperbolic).</li>
- (ii) If g is Kähler and  $\text{HSC}_{g} > 0$ , then X is rationally connected, i.e., any two points are contained in the image of some holomorphic map  $\mathbf{P}^{1} \to X$ .

The holomorphic sectional curvature

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\mathrm{g}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi|_{\mathrm{g}}^{4}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \mathrm{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} \xi^{i} \overline{\xi}^{j} \xi^{k} \overline{\xi}^{\ell}$$

controls the value distribution of holomorphic curves in X:

- (i) If HSC<sub>g</sub> ≤ −Λ<sub>0</sub> < 0, every holomorphic map C → X is constant (i.e., X is Kobayashi hyperbolic).</li>
- (ii) If g is Kähler and  $\text{HSC}_{g} > 0$ , then X is rationally connected, i.e., any two points are contained in the image of some holomorphic map  $\mathbf{P}^{1} \to X$ .

The holomorphic sectional curvature

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\mathrm{g}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\xi|_{\mathrm{g}}^{4}} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \mathrm{R}_{i\overline{j}k\overline{\ell}} \xi^{i} \overline{\xi}^{j} \xi^{k} \overline{\xi}^{\ell}$$

controls the value distribution of holomorphic curves in X:

- (i) If HSC<sub>g</sub> ≤ −Λ<sub>0</sub> < 0, every holomorphic map C → X is constant (i.e., X is Kobayashi hyperbolic).</li>
- (ii) If g is Kähler and  $HSC_g > 0$ , then X is rationally connected, i.e., any two points are contained in the image of some holomorphic map  $\mathbf{P}^1 \to X$ .

Conjecture. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric  $\text{HSC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric  $g_{\text{KE}}$  with  $\text{Ric}(g_{\text{KE}}) = -g_{\text{KE}}$ .

The conjecture was verified by Heier–Lu–Wong (2010) for projective threefolds with a Kähler metric  $\hat{g}$  satsying  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Wu–Yau (2016) developed a general strategy, extending the result to arbitrary dimension. The projective assumption was later relaxed to compact Kähler by Tosatti–Yang (2017).

Conjecture. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric  $\text{HSC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric  $g_{\text{KE}}$  with  $\text{Ric}(g_{\text{KE}}) = -g_{\text{KE}}$ .

The conjecture was verified by Heier–Lu–Wong (2010) for projective threefolds with a Kähler metric  $\hat{g}$  satsying  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Wu–Yau (2016) developed a general strategy, extending the result to arbitrary dimension. The projective assumption was later relaxed to compact Kähler by Tosatti–Yang (2017).

<u>Conjecture</u>. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric  $\text{HSC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric  $g_{\text{KE}}$  with  $\text{Ric}(g_{\text{KE}}) = -g_{\text{KE}}$ .

The conjecture was verified by Heier–Lu–Wong (2010) for projective threefolds with a Kähler metric  $\hat{g}$  satsying HSC $_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Wu–Yau (2016) developed a general strategy, extending the result to arbitrary dimension. The projective assumption was later relaxed to compact Kähler by Tosatti–Yang (2017).

<u>Conjecture</u>. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric  $\text{HSC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric  $g_{\text{KE}}$  with  $\text{Ric}(g_{\text{KE}}) = -g_{\text{KE}}$ .

The conjecture was verified by Heier–Lu–Wong (2010) for projective threefolds with a Kähler metric  $\hat{g}$  satsying HSC $_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Wu–Yau (2016) developed a general strategy, extending the result to arbitrary dimension. The projective assumption was later relaxed to compact Kähler by Tosatti–Yang (2017).

<u>Conjecture</u>. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric  $\text{HSC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric  $g_{\text{KE}}$  with  $\text{Ric}(g_{\text{KE}}) = -g_{\text{KE}}$ .

The conjecture was verified by Heier–Lu–Wong (2010) for projective threefolds with a Kähler metric  $\hat{g}$  satsying HSC $_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Wu–Yau (2016) developed a general strategy, extending the result to arbitrary dimension. The projective assumption was later relaxed to compact Kähler by Tosatti–Yang (2017).

The Wu–Yau strategy is to proceed by contradiction, assuming that  $K_X$  is not ample. Then produce a sequence of Kähler metrics  $g_{\varepsilon}$ , obtain uniform estimates (independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and obtain the desired contradiction.

If  $\hat{g}$  is the Kähler metric with  $HSC_{\hat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 < 0$ , the core estimate is  $\hat{g} \leq Cg_{\varepsilon}$ , or equivalently,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon}}(\hat{\mathbf{g}}) \leq C.$$

The Wu–Yau strategy is to proceed by contradiction, assuming that  $K_X$  is not ample. Then produce a sequence of Kähler metrics  $g_{\varepsilon}$ , obtain uniform estimates (independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and obtain the desired contradiction.

If  $\hat{g}$  is the Kähler metric with  $HSC_{\hat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 < 0$ , the core estimate is  $\hat{g} \leq Cg_{\varepsilon}$ , or equivalently,

 $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon}}(\hat{\mathbf{g}}) \leq C.$ 

The Wu–Yau strategy is to proceed by contradiction, assuming that  $K_X$  is not ample. Then produce a sequence of Kähler metrics  $g_{\varepsilon}$ , obtain uniform estimates (independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and obtain the desired contradiction.

If  $\hat{g}$  is the Kähler metric with  $HSC_{\hat{g}}\leq -\Lambda_0<0,$  the core estimate is  $\hat{g}\leq Cg_\varepsilon,$  or equivalently,

 $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}_\varepsilon}(\hat{\mathbf{g}}) \ \leq \ C.$ 

The Wu–Yau strategy is to proceed by contradiction, assuming that  $K_X$  is not ample. Then produce a sequence of Kähler metrics  $g_{\varepsilon}$ , obtain uniform estimates (independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and obtain the desired contradiction.

If  $\hat{g}$  is the Kähler metric with  $HSC_{\hat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 < 0$ , the core estimate is  $\hat{g} \leq Cg_{\varepsilon}$ , or equivalently,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon}}(\hat{\mathbf{g}}) \leq C.$$

Lu (1967) showed that

source curvature term

target curvature term

where  $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}} \partial_i \bar{\partial}_j$ .

In particular, to apply the maximum principle, we want a lower bound on the Ricci curvature of g and an upper bound on the target curvature term of ĝ.

# The Schwarz Lemma

Let us write  $f = \mathrm{id} : (X, \mathbf{g}_{\varepsilon}) \to (X, \hat{\mathbf{g}})$  for the identity map. Write the derivative locally as  $\partial f = f_i^{\alpha} dz^i \otimes f^* \partial_{w_{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial f^{\alpha}}{\partial z_i} dz^i \otimes f^* \partial_{w_{\alpha}}$ .

Lu (1967) showed that

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}}) = |\nabla \partial f|^2 + \underbrace{\mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}} \mathbf{g}^{k\bar{q}} \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{\ell}} f_{q}^{\alpha} \overline{f_{q}^{\beta}} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}_{\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}} - \underbrace{\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \left( \mathbf{g}^{i\bar{l}} f_{i}^{\alpha} \overline{f_{j}^{\beta}} \right) \left( \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}} f_{p}^{\gamma} \overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}} \right)}_{\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}},$$

source curvature term

target curvature term

where  $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}} \partial_i \bar{\partial}_j$ .

In particular, to apply the maximum principle, we want a lower bound on the Ricci curvature of g and an upper bound on the target curvature term of ĝ.

Lu (1967) showed that  $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}}) = |\nabla \partial f|^2 + \underbrace{\operatorname{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}} \mathbf{g}^{k\bar{q}} \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{\ell}} f_p^{\alpha} \overline{f_q^{\beta}} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}_{\text{source curvature term}} - \underbrace{\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \left( \mathbf{g}^{i\bar{l}} f_i^{\alpha} \overline{f_j^{\beta}} \right) \left( \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}} f_p^{\gamma} \overline{f_q^{\gamma}} \right)}_{\text{target curvature term}},$ where  $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbf{g}^{i\bar{l}} \partial_i \bar{\partial}_i.$ 

In particular, to apply the maximum principle, we want a lower bound on the Ricci curvature of g and an upper bound on the target curvature term of  $\hat{g}$ .

Lu (1967) showed that

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}}) = |\nabla \partial f|^2 + \underbrace{\mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}} \mathbf{g}^{k\bar{q}} \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{\ell}} f_p^{\alpha} \overline{f_q^{\beta}} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}_{\mathrm{source curvature term}} - \underbrace{\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \left( \mathbf{g}^{l\bar{l}} f_i^{\alpha} \overline{f_j^{\beta}} \right) \left( \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}} f_p^{\gamma} \overline{f_q^{\gamma}} \right)}_{\mathrm{source curvature term}},$$

target curvature term

where  $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}} \partial_i \bar{\partial}_j$ .

In particular, to apply the maximum principle, we want a lower bound on the Ricci curvature of g and an upper bound on the target curvature term of  $\hat{g}$ .

Lu (1967) showed that

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}}) = |\nabla \partial f|^2 + \underbrace{\operatorname{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}} \mathbf{g}^{k\bar{q}} \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{\ell}} f_{q}^{\alpha} \overline{f_{q}^{\beta}} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}_{\mathrm{source curvature term}} - \underbrace{\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \left( \mathbf{g}^{l\bar{j}} f_{i}^{\alpha} \overline{f_{j}^{\beta}} \right) \left( \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}} f_{p}^{\gamma} \overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}} \right)}_{\mathrm{target curvature term}},$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}} \partial_i \bar{\partial}_j$ .

In particular, to apply the maximum principle, we want a lower bound on the Ricci curvature of g and an upper bound on the target curvature term of  $\hat{g}$ .

Lu (1967) showed that

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}}) = |\nabla \partial f|^2 + \underbrace{\mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}} \mathbf{g}^{k\bar{q}} \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{\ell}} f_p^{\alpha} \overline{f_q^{\beta}} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}_{\mathrm{source curvature term}} - \underbrace{\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \left( \mathbf{g}^{l\bar{j}} f_i^{\alpha} \overline{f_j^{\beta}} \right) \left( \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}} f_p^{\gamma} \overline{f_q^{\gamma}} \right)}_{\mathrm{target curvature term}},$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}} \partial_i \bar{\partial}_j$ .

In particular, to apply the maximum principle, we want a lower bound on the Ricci curvature of g and an upper bound on the target curvature term of  $\hat{g}$ .

# Two general improvements

#### Since Lu's calculation in 67, there have been two general improvements:

- Yau (1978) applied his maximum principle to this calculation, which permitted significantly more general source manifolds.
- Royden (1980) showed that the target curvature term is controlled from an upper bound on the holomorphic sectional curvature if the target metric is Kähler. This permits significantly more general target manifolds.

In particular, if the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $\hat{g}$  is bounded above  $HSC_{\hat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 \leq 0$ , then

$$\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\left(\mathrm{g}^{i\bar{j}}f^{\alpha}_{i}\overline{f^{\beta}_{j}}\right)\left(\mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}}f^{\gamma}_{p}\overline{f^{\gamma}_{q}}\right) \leq \frac{-\Lambda_{0}(n+1)}{2n}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^{*}\widehat{\mathrm{g}})^{2}.$$

Since Lu's calculation in 67, there have been two general improvements:

- Yau (1978) applied his maximum principle to this calculation, which permitted significantly more general source manifolds.
- Royden (1980) showed that the target curvature term is controlled from an upper bound on the holomorphic sectional curvature if the target metric is Kähler. This permits significantly more general target manifolds.

In particular, if the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $\hat{g}$  is bounded above  $HSC_{\hat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 \leq 0$ , then

$$\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\left(\mathrm{g}^{i\bar{j}}f^{\alpha}_{i}\overline{f^{\beta}_{j}}\right)\left(\mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}}f^{\gamma}_{p}\overline{f^{\gamma}_{q}}\right) \leq \frac{-\Lambda_{0}(n+1)}{2n}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^{*}\widehat{\mathrm{g}})^{2}.$$

Since Lu's calculation in 67, there have been two general improvements:

- Yau (1978) applied his maximum principle to this calculation, which permitted significantly more general source manifolds.
- Royden (1980) showed that the target curvature term is controlled from an upper bound on the holomorphic sectional curvature if the target metric is Kähler. This permits significantly more general target manifolds.

In particular, if the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $\hat{g}$  is bounded above  $HSC_{\hat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 \leq 0$ , then

$$\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\left(\mathrm{g}^{i\bar{j}}f^{\alpha}_{i}\overline{f^{\beta}_{j}}\right)\left(\mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}}f^{\gamma}_{p}\overline{f^{\gamma}_{q}}\right) \leq \frac{-\Lambda_{0}(n+1)}{2n}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^{*}\widehat{\mathrm{g}})^{2}.$$

Since Lu's calculation in 67, there have been two general improvements:

- Yau (1978) applied his maximum principle to this calculation, which permitted significantly more general source manifolds.
- Royden (1980) showed that the target curvature term is controlled from an upper bound on the holomorphic sectional curvature if the target metric is Kähler. This permits significantly more general target manifolds.

In particular, if the holomorphic sectional curvature of  $\widehat{g}$  is bounded above  $HSC_{\widehat{g}}\leq -\Lambda_0\leq 0,$  then

$$\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{lphaar{eta}\gammaar{\delta}}\left(\mathrm{g}^{iar{j}}f^{lpha}_{i}\overline{f^{eta}_{j}}
ight)\left(\mathrm{g}^{par{q}}f^{\gamma}_{p}\overline{f^{\gamma}_{q}}
ight)\ \leq\ rac{-\Lambda_{0}(n+1)}{2n}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^{*}\widehat{\mathrm{g}})^{2}.$$

## Royden's Schwarz Lemma

<u>Theorem.</u> (Royden). Let X be a compact complex manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . Let  $f : (X, g) \to (X, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map. Suppose that g is Kähler with

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\mathrm{g}) \geq -C_1\mathrm{g} + C_2\widehat{\mathrm{g}},$$

for some constants  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $\widehat{g}$  is Kähler with  $HSC_{\widehat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 \leq 0$ . Then

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}}) \geq |\nabla \partial f|^2 - C_1 \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}}) + \left(C_2 + \frac{\Lambda_0(n+1)}{2n}\right) \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}})^2,$$

and hence,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g}(f^{*}\widehat{g}) \leq \frac{2nC_{1}}{2nC_{2} + \Lambda_{0}(n+1)}$$

<u>Theorem.</u> (Royden). Let X be a compact complex manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . Let  $f : (X, g) \to (X, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map. Suppose that g is Kähler with

 $\operatorname{Ric}(g) \geq -C_1g + C_2\widehat{g},$ 

for some constants  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ . Suppose that  $\widehat{g}$  is Kähler with  $HSC_{\widehat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 \leq 0$ . Then

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}}) \geq |\nabla \partial f|^2 - C_1 \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}}) + \left(C_2 + \frac{\Lambda_0(n+1)}{2n}\right) \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathbf{g}})^2,$$

and hence,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g}(f^{*}\widehat{g}) \leq \frac{2nC_{1}}{2nC_{2} + \Lambda_{0}(n+1)}$$
<u>Theorem.</u> (Royden). Let X be a compact complex manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . Let  $f : (X, g) \to (X, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map. Suppose that g is Kähler with

$$\operatorname{Ric}(g) \geq -C_1g + C_2\widehat{g},$$

for some constants  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ . Suppose that  $\widehat{g}$  is Kähler with  $HSC_{\widehat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 \leq 0$ . Then

$$\Delta_{g} \operatorname{tr}_{g}(f^{*}\widehat{g}) \geq |\nabla \partial f|^{2} - C_{1} \operatorname{tr}_{g}(f^{*}\widehat{g}) + \left(C_{2} + \frac{\Lambda_{0}(n+1)}{2n}\right) \operatorname{tr}_{g}(f^{*}\widehat{g})^{2},$$

and hence,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g}(f^{*}\widehat{g}) \leq \frac{2nC_{1}}{2nC_{2} + \Lambda_{0}(n+1)}$$

<u>Theorem.</u> (Royden). Let X be a compact complex manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . Let  $f : (X, g) \to (X, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map. Suppose that g is Kähler with

$$\operatorname{Ric}(g) \geq -C_1g + C_2\widehat{g},$$

for some constants  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ . Suppose that  $\widehat{g}$  is Kähler with  $HSC_{\widehat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 \leq 0$ . Then

$$\Delta_{ extrm{g}}(f^*\widehat{ extrm{g}}) \hspace{2mm} \geq \hspace{2mm} \left| 
abla \partial f 
ight|^2 - C_1 extrm{trg}(f^*\widehat{ extrm{g}}) + \left( C_2 + rac{\Lambda_0(n+1)}{2n} 
ight) extrm{trg}(f^*\widehat{ extrm{g}})^2,$$

and hence,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g}(f^{*}\widehat{g}) \leq \frac{2nC_{1}}{2nC_{2} + \Lambda_{0}(n+1)}$$

<u>Theorem.</u> (Royden). Let X be a compact complex manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . Let  $f : (X, g) \to (X, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map. Suppose that g is Kähler with

$$\operatorname{Ric}(g) \geq -C_1g + C_2\widehat{g},$$

for some constants  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ . Suppose that  $\widehat{g}$  is Kähler with  $HSC_{\widehat{g}} \leq -\Lambda_0 \leq 0$ . Then

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathrm{g}}) \hspace{2mm} \geq \hspace{2mm} \left| \nabla \partial f \right|^2 - C_1 \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathrm{g}}) + \left( C_2 + \frac{\Lambda_0(n+1)}{2n} \right) \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^* \widehat{\mathrm{g}})^2,$$

and hence,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g}(f^{*}\widehat{g}) \leq \frac{2nC_{1}}{2nC_{2} + \Lambda_{0}(n+1)}$$

<u>Theorem.</u> Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Kähler</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

And our main goal is the following:

Conjecture. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Hermitian</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

Passing from Kähler to Hermitian is very difficult, in general. Hence, a number of classes of Hermitian metrics, generalizing the Kähler condition have been introduced. A very important class of Hermitian metrics are the pluriclosed metrics, defined by  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ . Such metrics always exist on a compact complex surface (Gauduchon). The bi-invariant metric on a compact semi-simple Lie group of even rank (endowed with its Samelson complex structure) is pluriclosed.

<u>Theorem.</u> Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Kähler</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

And our main goal is the following:

Conjecture. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Hermitian</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

Passing from Kähler to Hermitian is very difficult, in general. Hence, a number of classes of Hermitian metrics, generalizing the Kähler condition have been introduced. A very important class of Hermitian metrics are the pluriclosed metrics, defined by  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ . Such metrics always exist on a compact complex surface (Gauduchon). The bi-invariant metric on a compact semi-simple Lie group of even rank (endowed with its Samelson complex structure) is pluriclosed.

<u>Theorem.</u> Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Kähler</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

And our main goal is the following:

<u>Conjecture</u>. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Hermitian</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

Passing from Kähler to Hermitian is very difficult, in general. Hence, a number of classes of Hermitian metrics, generalizing the Kähler condition have been introduced. A very important class of Hermitian metrics are the pluriclosed metrics, defined by  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ . Such metrics always exist on a compact complex surface (Gauduchon). The bi-invariant metric on a compact semi-simple Lie group of even rank (endowed with its Samelson complex structure) is pluriclosed.

<u>Theorem.</u> Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Kähler</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

And our main goal is the following:

<u>Conjecture</u>. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Hermitian</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

Passing from Kähler to Hermitian is very difficult, in general. Hence, a number of classes of Hermitian metrics, generalizing the Kähler condition have been introduced. A very important class of Hermitian metrics are the pluriclosed metrics, defined by  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ . Such metrics always exist on a compact complex surface (Gauduchon). The bi-invariant metric on a compact semi-simple Lie group of even rank (endowed with its Samelson complex structure) is pluriclosed.

<u>Theorem.</u> Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Kähler</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

And our main goal is the following:

<u>Conjecture</u>. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Hermitian</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

Passing from Kähler to Hermitian is very difficult, in general. Hence, a number of classes of Hermitian metrics, generalizing the Kähler condition have been introduced. A very important class of Hermitian metrics are the pluriclosed metrics, defined by  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ . Such metrics always exist on a compact complex surface (Gauduchon). The bi-invariant metric on a compact semi-simple Lie group of even rank (endowed with its Samelson complex structure) is pluriclosed.

<u>Theorem.</u> Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Kähler</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

And our main goal is the following:

<u>Conjecture</u>. (Yau). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a <u>Hermitian</u> metric  $HSC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample.

Passing from Kähler to Hermitian is very difficult, in general. Hence, a number of classes of Hermitian metrics, generalizing the Kähler condition have been introduced. A very important class of Hermitian metrics are the pluriclosed metrics, defined by  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ . Such metrics always exist on a compact complex surface (Gauduchon). The bi-invariant metric on a compact semi-simple Lie group of even rank (endowed with its Samelson complex structure) is pluriclosed.

In the Wu–Yau theorem, the negatively curved metric is only used to control the target curvature term

 $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\left(\mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}}f_{i}^{\alpha}\overline{f_{j}^{\beta}}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}}f_{p}^{\gamma}\overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}}\right)$ 

in Royden's Schwarz lemma For non-Kähler metrics, Royden's argument fails to control this term. Yang–Zheng (2018) introduced the real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \widehat{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}},$$

precisely to control this target curvature term. Here,  $\xi$  is a nonnegative Hermitian (1,1)-tensor. As a consequence, Yang–Zheng proved the following extension of the Wu–Yau theorem.

<u>Theorem.</u> (Yang–Zheng). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric of  $RBC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then X has ample canonical bundle.

In the Wu–Yau theorem, the negatively curved metric is only used to control the target curvature term

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\left(\mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}}f_{i}^{\alpha}\overline{f_{j}^{\beta}}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}}f_{p}^{\gamma}\overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}}\right)$$

in Royden's Schwarz lemma For non-Kähler metrics, Royden's argument fails to control this term. Yang–Zheng (2018) introduced the real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \widehat{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}},$$

precisely to control this target curvature term. Here,  $\xi$  is a nonnegative Hermitian (1,1)-tensor. As a consequence, Yang–Zheng proved the following extension of the Wu–Yau theorem.

<u>Theorem.</u> (Yang–Zheng). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric of  $RBC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then X has ample canonical bundle.

In the Wu–Yau theorem, the negatively curved metric is only used to control the target curvature term

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\left(\mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}}f_{i}^{\alpha}\overline{f_{j}^{\beta}}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}}f_{p}^{\gamma}\overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}}\right)$$

in Royden's Schwarz lemma For non-Kähler metrics, Royden's argument fails to control this term. Yang–Zheng (2018) introduced the real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \widehat{R}_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}} \xi^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma \bar{\delta}},$$

precisely to control this target curvature term. Here,  $\xi$  is a nonnegative Hermitian (1,1)-tensor. As a consequence, Yang–Zheng proved the following extension of the Wu–Yau theorem.

<u>Theorem.</u> (Yang–Zheng). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric of  $RBC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then X has ample canonical bundle.

In the Wu–Yau theorem, the negatively curved metric is only used to control the target curvature term

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\left(\mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}}f_{i}^{\alpha}\overline{f_{j}^{\beta}}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}}f_{p}^{\gamma}\overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}}\right)$$

in Royden's Schwarz lemma For non-Kähler metrics, Royden's argument fails to control this term. Yang–Zheng (2018) introduced the real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \widehat{R}_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}} \xi^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma \bar{\delta}},$$

precisely to control this target curvature term. Here,  $\xi$  is a nonnegative Hermitian (1,1)-tensor. As a consequence, Yang–Zheng proved the following extension of the Wu–Yau theorem.

<u>Theorem.</u> (Yang–Zheng). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric of  $RBC_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then X has ample canonical bundle.

In the Wu–Yau theorem, the negatively curved metric is only used to control the target curvature term

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\left(\mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}}f_{i}^{\alpha}\overline{f_{j}^{\beta}}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}}f_{p}^{\gamma}\overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}}\right)$$

in Royden's Schwarz lemma For non-Kähler metrics, Royden's argument fails to control this term. Yang–Zheng (2018) introduced the real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \widehat{R}_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}} \xi^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma \bar{\delta}},$$

precisely to control this target curvature term. Here,  $\xi$  is a nonnegative Hermitian (1, 1)-tensor. As a consequence, Yang–Zheng proved the following extension of the Wu–Yau theorem.

<u>Theorem.</u> (Yang–Zheng). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric of  $\text{RBC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then X has ample canonical bundle.

In the Wu–Yau theorem, the negatively curved metric is only used to control the target curvature term

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}\left(\mathbf{g}^{i\bar{j}}f_{i}^{\alpha}\overline{f_{j}^{\beta}}\right)\left(\mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}}f_{p}^{\gamma}\overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}}\right)$$

in Royden's Schwarz lemma For non-Kähler metrics, Royden's argument fails to control this term. Yang–Zheng (2018) introduced the real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} \widehat{R}_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma \bar{\delta}} \xi^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma \bar{\delta}},$$

precisely to control this target curvature term. Here,  $\xi$  is a nonnegative Hermitian (1, 1)-tensor. As a consequence, Yang–Zheng proved the following extension of the Wu–Yau theorem.

<u>Theorem.</u> (Yang–Zheng). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a Hermitian metric of  $\text{RBC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then X has ample canonical bundle.

#### But it turns out that the real bisectional curvature is not sharp, and the purpose of the present talk is to exhibit the first general improvement on the Schwarz lemma in the Hermitian category since Royden.

Before stating the main workhorse, let us state the main application; the following most general form of the Kobayashi–Lang conjecture:

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.-Stanfield, 2023). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a pluriclosed metric  $\widehat{g}$  of  $\text{HSC}_{\widehat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g. But it turns out that the real bisectional curvature is not sharp, and the purpose of the present talk is to exhibit the first general improvement on the Schwarz lemma in the Hermitian category since Royden.

Before stating the main workhorse, let us state the main application; the following most general form of the Kobayashi–Lang conjecture:

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.-Stanfield, 2023). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a pluriclosed metric  $\hat{g}$  of  $\text{HSC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g. But it turns out that the real bisectional curvature is not sharp, and the purpose of the present talk is to exhibit the first general improvement on the Schwarz lemma in the Hermitian category since Royden.

Before stating the main workhorse, let us state the main application; the following most general form of the Kobayashi–Lang conjecture:

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.–Stanfield, 2023). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a pluriclosed metric  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$  of  $\mathrm{HSC}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with  $\mathrm{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) = -\mathbf{g}$ .

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.-Stanfield, 2023). Let  $f : (X, g) \to (Y, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map between Hermitian manifolds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \hat{\mathbf{g}}) &\geq & \mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}} \mathbf{g}^{k\bar{q}} \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{\ell}} f_p^{\alpha} \overline{f_q}^{\beta} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ &- \left( \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}^{\rho}_{\alpha\gamma} \overline{\widehat{T}}^{\sigma}_{\beta\delta} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \mathbf{g}^{\bar{l}\bar{j}} f_i^{\alpha} \overline{f_j}^{\beta} \mathbf{g}^{p\bar{q}} f_p^{\gamma} \overline{f_q}^{\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

The new target curvature term is then what we call the tempered real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}^{\tau}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \left( \widehat{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{g}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}.$$

This new curvature condition is intrinsic to the Hermitian structure. Remarkably, if  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$  is a pluriclosed metric, then

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}} < 0 \implies \mathrm{RBC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}}^{\tau} < 0.$$
 18

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.-Stanfield, 2023). Let  $f : (X, g) \to (Y, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map between Hermitian manifolds. Then

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^* \hat{\mathrm{g}}) &\geq & \mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}} \mathrm{g}^{k\bar{q}} \mathrm{g}^{p\bar{\ell}} f_p^{\alpha} \overline{f_q}^{\bar{\beta}} \widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ &- \left( \widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}^{\rho}_{\alpha\gamma} \overline{\widehat{T}^{\sigma}}_{\beta\delta} \widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \mathrm{g}^{\bar{l}\bar{j}} f_i^{\alpha} \overline{f_j^{\beta}} \mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}} f_p^{\gamma} \overline{f_q^{\gamma}}, \end{split}$$

The new target curvature term is then what we call the tempered real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}^{\tau}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \left( \widehat{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{g}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}.$$

This new curvature condition is intrinsic to the Hermitian structure. Remarkably, if  $\hat{g}$  is a pluriclosed metric, then

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}} < 0 \implies \mathrm{RBC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}}^{\tau} < 0.$$
 18

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.–Stanfield, 2023). Let  $f : (X, g) \to (Y, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map between Hermitian manifolds. Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^{*}\hat{\mathrm{g}}) & \geq & \mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}}\mathrm{g}^{k\bar{q}}\mathrm{g}^{p\bar{\ell}}f^{\alpha}_{p}f^{\bar{\beta}}_{q}\widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ & & -\left(\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}-\frac{1}{4}\widehat{T}^{\rho}_{\alpha\gamma}\overline{\widehat{T}^{\sigma}_{\beta\delta}}\widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}}\right)\mathrm{g}^{\bar{\eta}}f^{\alpha}_{i}\overline{f^{\beta}}_{j}\mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}}f^{\gamma}_{p}\overline{f^{\gamma}_{q}}, \end{array}$$

The new target curvature term is then what we call the tempered real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}^{\tau}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \left( \widehat{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{g}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}.$$

This new curvature condition is intrinsic to the Hermitian structure. Remarkably, if  $\hat{g}$  is a pluriclosed metric, then

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}} < 0 \implies \mathrm{RBC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}}^{\tau} < 0.$$
 18

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.–Stanfield, 2023). Let  $f : (X, g) \to (Y, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map between Hermitian manifolds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^* \hat{\mathbf{g}}) &\geq & \mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}} \mathrm{g}^{k\bar{q}} \mathrm{g}^{p\bar{\ell}} f_p^{\alpha} f_{\bar{q}}^{\beta} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ &- \left( \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \mathrm{g}^{\bar{l}\bar{j}} \mathrm{f}_{i}^{\alpha} \overline{f_{j}^{\beta}} \mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}} f_{p}^{\gamma} \overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

The new target curvature term is then what we call the tempered real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}^{\tau}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \left( \widehat{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{g}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}.$$

This new curvature condition is intrinsic to the Hermitian structure. Remarkably, if  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$  is a pluriclosed metric, then

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}} < 0 \implies \mathrm{RBC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}}^{\tau} < 0.$$

8

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.–Stanfield, 2023). Let  $f : (X, g) \to (Y, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map between Hermitian manifolds. Then

$$egin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{g}}(f^*\hat{\mathbf{g}}) &\geq & \mathrm{Ric}_{kar{\ell}} \mathrm{g}^{kar{q}} \mathrm{g}^{par{\ell}} f_p^{lpha} \overline{f_q}^{eta} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{lphaar{eta}} \ & \ & - \left(\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{lphaar{eta}\gammaar{\delta}} - rac{1}{4}\widehat{T}^{
ho}_{lpha\gamma}\overline{\widehat{T}}^{\sigma}_{eta\delta} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{
hoar{
ho}}
ight) \mathrm{g}^{ar{i}ar{j}} f_i^{lpha} \overline{f_j^{eta}} \mathrm{g}^{par{q}} f_p^{\gamma} \overline{f_q^{\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

The new target curvature term is then what we call the tempered real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}^{\tau}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \left( \widehat{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{g}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}.$$

This new curvature condition is intrinsic to the Hermitian structure. Remarkably, if  $\hat{g}$  is a pluriclosed metric, then

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}} < 0 \implies \mathrm{RBC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}}^{\tau} < 0.$$
 18

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.–Stanfield, 2023). Let  $f : (X, g) \to (Y, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map between Hermitian manifolds. Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^{*}\hat{\mathrm{g}}) & \geq & \mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}}\mathrm{g}^{k\bar{q}}\mathrm{g}^{p\bar{\ell}}f_{p}^{\alpha}\overline{f_{q}}^{\beta}\widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ & & -\left(\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}-\frac{1}{4}\widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho}\overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma}\widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}}\right)\mathrm{g}^{i\bar{j}}f_{i}^{\alpha}\overline{f_{j}}^{\beta}\mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}}f_{p}^{\gamma}\overline{f_{q}}^{\gamma}, \end{array}$$

The new target curvature term is then what we call the tempered real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}^{\tau}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}.$$

This new curvature condition is intrinsic to the Hermitian structure. Remarkably, if  $\hat{g}$  is a pluriclosed metric, then

$$\mathrm{HSC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}} < 0 \implies \mathrm{RBC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}}^{\tau} < 0.$$

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.–Stanfield, 2023). Let  $f : (X, g) \to (Y, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map between Hermitian manifolds. Then

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^{*}\hat{\mathrm{g}}) &\geq & \mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}} \mathrm{g}^{k\bar{q}} \mathrm{g}^{p\bar{\ell}} f_{p}^{\alpha} \overline{f_{q}^{\beta}} \widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ &- \left(\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}}\right) \mathrm{g}^{i\bar{j}} f_{i}^{\alpha} \overline{f_{j}^{\beta}} \mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}} f_{p}^{\gamma} \overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}}, \end{split}$$

The new target curvature term is then what we call the tempered real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}^{\tau}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \left( \widehat{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{g}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}.$$

This new curvature condition is intrinsic to the Hermitian structure. Remarkably, if  $\hat{g}$  is a pluriclosed metric, then

 $\mathrm{HSC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}} < 0 \implies \mathrm{RBC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}}^\tau < 0.$ 

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.–Stanfield, 2023). Let  $f : (X, g) \to (Y, \widehat{g})$  be a holomorphic map between Hermitian manifolds. Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}}(f^{*}\hat{\mathrm{g}}) & \geq & \mathrm{Ric}_{k\bar{\ell}}\mathrm{g}^{k\bar{q}}\mathrm{g}^{p\bar{\ell}}f_{p}^{\alpha}\overline{f}_{q}^{\beta}\widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ & & -\left(\widehat{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}-\frac{1}{4}\widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho}\overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma}\widehat{\mathrm{g}}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}}\right)\mathrm{g}^{i\bar{j}}f_{i}^{\alpha}\overline{f_{j}^{\beta}}\mathrm{g}^{p\bar{q}}f_{p}^{\gamma}\overline{f_{q}^{\gamma}}, \end{array}$$

The new target curvature term is then what we call the tempered real bisectional curvature

$$\operatorname{RBC}_{\widehat{g}}^{\tau}(\xi) := \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \left( \widehat{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{T}_{\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \overline{\widehat{T}}_{\beta\delta}^{\sigma} \widehat{g}_{\rho\bar{\sigma}} \right) \xi^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \xi^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}.$$

This new curvature condition is intrinsic to the Hermitian structure. Remarkably, if  $\hat{g}$  is a pluriclosed metric, then

$$\operatorname{HSC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}} < 0 \implies \operatorname{RBC}_{\hat{\mathrm{g}}}^{\tau} < 0.$$

The tempered Schwarz lemma is the first general improvement on Royden's Schwarz lemma since 1980. As a consequence, this presents the most general evidence for the conjectures Kobayashi, Lang, and Yau:

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.–Stanfield, 2023). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a pluriclosed metric  $\hat{g}$  of  $\text{HSC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g.

The tempered Schwarz lemma is the first general improvement on Royden's Schwarz lemma since 1980. As a consequence, this presents the most general evidence for the conjectures Kobayashi, Lang, and Yau:

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.-Stanfield, 2023). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a pluriclosed metric  $\hat{g}$  of  $\text{HSC}_{\hat{g}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler-Einstein metric g with Ric(g) = -g. The tempered Schwarz lemma is the first general improvement on Royden's Schwarz lemma since 1980. As a consequence, this presents the most general evidence for the conjectures Kobayashi, Lang, and Yau:

<u>Theorem.</u> (B.–Stanfield, 2023). Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with a pluriclosed metric  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$  of  $\mathrm{HSC}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} < 0$ . Then the canonical bundle  $K_X$  is ample. In particular, X is projective and admits a Kähler–Einstein metric g with  $\mathrm{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) = -\mathbf{g}$ .